



Chhattisgarh State Electricity Regulatory Commission
Irrigation Colony, Shanti Nagar, Raipur - 492 001 (C.G.)
Ph.0771-4073568, Fax: 4073553
www.cserc.gov.in, e-mail: cserc.sec.cg@nic.in



Petition No. 70 of 2026

M/s Hi-Tech Power and Steel Pvt. Ltd.

... Petitioner

Versus

1. Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Co. Ltd.

2. Chhattisgarh State Power Transmission Co. Ltd.

3. State Load Despatch Center

... Respondents

True copy of order passed in order sheet dated 11.06.2026.

"Shri Shobhit Josphe representative for M/s Hi-tech Power and Steel Ltd.

Smt. Alka Yadav, EE for respondent CSPDCL.

Shri V.G. Ekka, SE for respondent CSPTCL.

Shri Abhishek Jain, SE for respondent SLDC.

2. The petitioner, M/s Hi-Tech Power & Steel Ltd. is a registered company and is manufacturing of MS/HT Billets, Blooms, TMT Bars and sponge iron in it's manufacturing plant at Village-Parsada, Tehsil-Tilda, District-Raipur (Chhattisgarh). The petitioner is availing contract demand of 3000 KVA at 33 KV from the respondent CSPDCL (under BP No. 1000497) through dedicated Hi-Tech feeder emanating from 33/11 KV, Jota substation.

3. The petitioner further submitted that supply arrangement at the drawl point is being changed from 33 KV to 132 KV with contract demand of 6600 KVA. The power will than sourced from 132/33 KV Simga Substation and hence open access is proposed at 132 KV. This work is expected to be completed by August-2026 and also it is submitted that feeder bay work is being executed by CSPTCL. The petitioner intends to procure a portion of it's power requirement, after the changeover of supply voltage from 33 KV to 132 KV, through power exchange under open access. The procurement

of power may be both renewable and conventional depending on the availability and operational requirement.

4. The petitioner prays to draw external power through interstate/intra state open access using proposed connectivity/feeder arrangement, subject to applicable technical and commercial conditions.

5. Heard the parties. It is submitted by the parties that the petitioner will have the dedicated feeder even after upgradation to 132 KV at drawl end.

6. As the petitioner will have dedicated feeder at its drawl end, the matter does not require the Commission's intervention. The regulations in this regard are amply clear. The parties may proceed to resolve the issues mutually in accordance with the regulations.

7. The case is disposed off with above observations".

**Sd/-
Member (Tech.)**

**Sd/-
Member (Law)**